

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/763,974 | 01/22/2004 | Hassan Pajouhesh | 381092001600 | 7894 | |
| 25225 7590 04/02/2007 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 12531 HIGH BLUFF DRIVE | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | KOSACK, JOSEPH R | | |
| SUITE 100 SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2040 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1626 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 04/02/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/763,974 | PAJOUHESH ET AL. | PAJOUHESH ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| Joseph Kosack | 1626 | | | |

| | Joseph Kosack | 1626 | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu | idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin | g date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f). | g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | ns of the date of ne appeal. Since | | | | | |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | • | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be | nsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | , | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, claims 1-14 and 16. | | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 1-17 and 21-23. Claim(s) rejected: 1-17 and 21-23. | ☐ will not be entered, or b) ⊠ wi vided below or appended. | II be entered and an o | explanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 18-20. | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affidat | otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i | ot be entered s necessary and | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attac | hed. | | | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet. | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | nce because: | | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| 13. ☐ Other: Karrel Sace | <i>(</i> | made R K | sack | | | | | |
| Kamal a. Cafed, Ph.D. Windry Examiner | d | Joseph Kosack Patent Examiner Art Unit 1626 | , <u> </u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The disclosure of Kuroita et al discloses compounds with the clearly adjacent member where D is a two atom linker instead of the three atom linker claimed. Additionally, Kuroita et al. teaches a synthesis example that shows facile synthesis of the entire claimed genus, thereby making the synthesis of the instantly claimed compounds obvious.